UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,219	12/03/2004	Yves Christen	58767.000064	2637
	7590 08/31/201 YILLIAMS LLP	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			CHEN, CATHERYNE	
1900 K STREE SUITE 1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109			1655	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/31/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/517,219	CHRISTEN, YVES			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	CATHERYNE CHEN	1655			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tined will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u>	April 2010				
· <u> </u>	nis action is non-final.				
<i>′</i> <del></del>	/ <del></del>				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 11 and 12 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 11 and 12 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the ${ t B}$	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) \[ \sum \text{Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)} \]	4) 🔲 Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:					

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April. 7, 2010 has been entered.

Currently, Claims 11-12 are pending. Claims 11-12 are examined. Claims 1-10 are canceled.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,219

Art Unit: 1655

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Page 3

Claims 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stankov (from IDS EP 1093817 A1) in view of Schwabe (US 5399348).

Stankov teaches treatment for overweight and obesity in humans with active ingredients from Gingko biloba (Abstract). Fat is prevented from forming from sugar and fat is eliminated [0028]. The Gingko biloba extract contains flavonglucosides [0024] at 0.5-4 parts in weight [0025]. After treatment in an obese human, the body mass as a whole would have decreased fat. The body mass as a whole would have a lower fat to body mass ratio. Therefore, the muscle mass to body mass ratio would increase, which results in a gain in muscle mass to the detriment of fatty mass.

However, it does not teach 20-30% weight flavone glycosides, 2.5-4.5% weight of ginkgolides A, B, C, and J, 2.0-4.0% weight bilobalide, less than 10 ppm alklyphenol, and less than 10% weight proanthocyanidins.

Schwabe teaches extract from Ginkgo biloba leaves has high flavone glucoside contents and contain most of the original ginkgolodes and bilobalide contents at 20-30% weight flavone glycosides, 2.5-4.5% weight of ginkgolides A, B, C, and J, 2.0-4.0% weight bilobalide, less than 10 ppm alklyphenol compounds, and less than 10% weight proanthocyanidins (column 3, lines 3-20). The pharmaceuticals contain substantially no danger of allergic reactions because of the removal of alkylphenol compounds (column 2, bottom right; column 3, lines 1-2) from Ginkgo biloba extracts.

Art Unit: 1655

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the gingko biloba extract at claimed concentrations 20-30% weight flavone glycosides, 2.5-4.5% weight of ginkgolides A, B, C, and J, 2.0-4.0% weight bilobalide, less than 10 ppm alklyphenol, and less than 10% weight proanthocyanidins for weight loss because the contents are originally present in gingko biloba leaves and flavone glycosides are use in treating obesity (see Stankov [0024]). One would have been motivated to make use the ingredient at concentrations taught by Schwabe for the expected benefit of promoting weight loss without developing allergies. Absent evidence to the contrary, there would have been a reasonable expectation of success in making the claimed invention from the combined teachings of the cited references.

## Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

#### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catheryne Chen whose telephone number is 571-272-9947. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 9-5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on 571-272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,219 Page 5

Art Unit: 1655

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Catheryne Chen Examiner Art Unit 1655

/Michele Flood/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1655